

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_100637
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Adaptogenic and Antidepressant Activity of Rhododendron arboreum flower
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, the research involves discovery of a potential new remedy for stress and depression using Rhododendron arboreum flower. Yes Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and complete. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate Yes, to the best of my knowledge the manuscript is scientifically correct. Yes, the references sufficient and recent. Comments 1. I believe that the authors have not isolated the component that is responsible for the observed adaptogenic and antidepressant activity of ethanolic extract of <i>Rhododendron arboreum</i> flower. 2. This study would have been most interesting has the authors isolated and described the new molecule that is accountable for the observed pharmacological properties of the extract. The authors speculate, (from other papers) that those flavonoids and gallic acid is responsible without any personal confirmation. 3. The word <i>Rhododendron arboretum</i> must be italicized throughout the manuscript as it is a botanical name. 4. Please correct the numerous grammatical mistakes in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs significant improvement in language/English quality.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santhosh Kumar Rajamani
Department, University & Country	MUHS, India