

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>         |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JESBS_101414</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Gendered practice of Naga Customary laws: A Critical Analysis</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Research Article</b>  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community and it contributes to the gender practices not only in India but also in some countries the world to practice gender in social functions.</b></li> <li>2. <b>Yes, it is suitable.</b></li> <li>3. <b>Partly, this manuscript does not have research method as one of the move structures of a scientific abstract which consists of: Introduction (choice), aims, research method, findings, and conclusion.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Partly, it does not have research method.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, but the authors of the manuscript need to give detail research methodology.</b></li> <li>6. <b>The references are insufficient, it needs up to date or recent references (2023, 2022, 2021, 2020, 2019, etc.)</b></li> </ol> <p>The authors are suggested to write down the research method in the abstract and in the body of the manuscript.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Yes, the morphosyntax of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.</b></li> </ol>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Sukardi Weda</b>                            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>State University of Makassar, Indonesia</b> |