

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_99896
Title of the Manuscript:	INDUSTRIAL ERGONOMICS OF MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES IN SOUTH WEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is moderately important</p> <p>The title needs some adjustments to reflect the independent and dependent variables. This can be adopted from the conceptual framework. <i>The title can be revise to be 'Influence of industrial Workplace ergonomic factors on ergonomic compliance of manufacturing industries in South West Nigeria'</i></p> <p><i>Since the abstract is the executive summary of the research, it should capture all the key element. Objectives should be included. How was data collected, analysed and presented</i></p> <p>The subsections are appropriate only they need to be numbered if possible</p> <p>The manuscript could be more appropriate if the researcher can include the inferential statistics.</p> <p>The references are appropriate and sufficient.</p> <p>The researcher should add the conclusions which should be based on the research questions and objectives</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Check on spellings i.e. Cronbach Alpha among others</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martin Onsiro Ronald
Department, University & Country	Mount Kenya University, Kenya