

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_101410
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of a Decentralized Wastewater Treatment System by Applying a Bio-tower - A Project for Improving our Environmental Footprint
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.Enhancement of a Decentralized Wastewater Treatment System by Applying a Bio-tower - A Project for Improving our Environmental Footprint is one of the thrust area in the field of scientific community. This paper reveals the importance of wastewater in Williams Island is located at the Stillwater Reservoir in the town of Webb in Herkimer County.</p> <p>2. Title seems to good for the work</p> <p>3.Yes</p> <p>4.Yes</p> <p>5. The manuscript is trying to prove the scientific reasons with experimental and way to start-up</p> <p>6.The author advised to add DOI number for the given references and less recent references were given.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1.Need to improve the language for better understanding for readers.</p> <p>2. The laboratory test values are not tabulated and shown only in the bar chart and this may be included.</p> <p>3.The standard values reference is not cited.</p> <p>4. Can increase the information regarding the bio tower with its specification.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The author attempted a good level of treatment system</p> <p>2. Waste water treatment with bio tower and the tower installation need to brief</p> <p>3. The biofilm used have less information in the aspects of specification.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Loganayagan
Department, University & Country	Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India