

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_100880
Title of the Manuscript:	Voltage Stability Improvement On Power Transmission Networks: A Case Study Of 330kv Power Transmission Networks In Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is general. 2. No, the title can be replaced with "Voltage Quality Analysis on Power Transmission Networks: A Case Study of 330kV Power Transmission Networks in Nigeria". 3. Yes. 4. No. 5. No. The article discusses the problem of voltage quality, not the problem of voltage stability. Voltage stability refers to the ability to maintain the bus voltage stability after power system suffers from various perturbations. 6. Yes. 	
<p>Major REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	It can be improved.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The reviewer suggests that "Voltage stability" should be modified to "Voltage quality". If studying the voltage stability of power system, use the continuation power flow (CPF) method	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheng Li
Department, University & Country	School of Electric Power Engineering, Nanjing Institute of Technology, China