

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_99125
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying and Analyzing the Technical challenges of grid tide inverters for PV system: A case study of Ethiopia and Zambia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Firstly, I would like to congratulate the authors for their excellent work, below are some suggestions to qualify the research, namely: 1. Please describe better and emphasize in more detail the importance of the Figures present in this article. How important are these elements? 2. Describe in more detail the Methodology used in this article, I suggest using the 5W2H concept; 3. Describe in more detail the Conclusion of this research, I suggest inserting 3-5 paragraphs, trying to show the real importance of this study in the scientific world; 4. Finally, insert another paragraph number 7. Future Works, highlighting the importance of this work for the future; 5. I suggest better describing the problem in the Introduction of this work, in this perspective please insert more references;	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Gomes De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	FEEC, UNICAMP, Brazil