

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_101642
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOREMEDIATION OF WASTEWATER ENRICHED WITH Cd, Cr AND Pb USING FLOATING MACROPHYTES.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? The topic of the MS is good and useful for reader.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, but it should be revised.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? No, I wrote some comments to improve the quality of the MS</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes, There are enough but please check them with the journal's format. Because They are brought by number and also alphabetically!!</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Comments: The language of the MS should be revised. There are many spelling, typographical and grammatical errors in the text and some of words were written in another language for example the fig. 4 legends</p> <p>The fig. and Table caption should be revised the captions are not enough.</p> <p>I could not open some of the figs in the word file of MS</p> <p>Some of the references are not enough for the sentences. For example, "ibezim-Ezeami et al., 2020 is about just E. crassipes but the author bring the references to confirm the hyper accumulation potential of all three plant species that were examined in the research. " Second paragraph of the introduction part...</p> <p>In method and material part, Plant selection and adaptation: what is the "first sentence". What is the " fresh birds of the plant????!!!"</p> <p>Please explain How to identify the plants?</p> <p>The result and disscation part was not written well. Please improve it. Some of the numbers in the part had no percent sign. Please revised</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samaneh Torbati
Department, University & Country	Artemia and Aqualculture Institute, Urmia University, Iran