

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_101054
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Cost of Production and Profitability of Watermelon in Thiruvallur District of Tamil Nadu in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	It's ok But may be. Analysis of production and profitability of watermelon in	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Ok	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Reference should be use review form in paper *In Research methodology selected district is Thiruvalluvar of Tamilnadu but in result and discussion selected district name mentioned Harveri district of Karnataka.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	* Discussion part of table 1. Row 12th write and in place of And and in row 14 th write among in place of Among. * Average cost of cultivation is shows 151230.2 in table but in discussion it is mention 138251.0	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments	Ok	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geeta Singh
Department, University & Country	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, India