

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_99846</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A STUDY OF IRON PROFILE IN PATIENTS WITH HEART FAILURE WITH PRESERVED AND REDUCED EJECTION FRACTION</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes (Moderately)</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>No</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Conclusion is missing.</b> <b>However few conclusions are mentioned in references from reference 12 onwards which is not an appropriate place to present. They need to be included in text.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Corrections are required as highlighted in the attached text. 2. <b>Conclusion is missing.</b> 3. <b>However few conclusions are mentioned in references from reference 12 onwards which is not an appropriate place to present. They need to be included in text.</b> 4. <b>Authors indicated that iron levels increased proportionate with increased hospital stay. What is the reason for the iron levels increase? ((Please see and list the reference ESC (European Society of Cardiology) by Dr. Kocyigit D and Dr. Gurses KM.)</b>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rao Gollapudi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kansas, USA</b>