

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_101898
Title of the Manuscript:	False Positive Results in High-Risk Pregnancy for Chromosomal Anomalies - Psychological Considerations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Medically, yes, it is important. But this article cannot cover a scientific discussion because it is incomplete.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is less compatible with the text.</p> <p>3- The abstract should represent the entire article. There is a contradiction between the text of the article and the abstract.</p> <p>4- Very low appropriate structure</p> <p>5- The scientifically aspects, this manuscripts very weak.</p> <p>6- References is a normal and appropriate.</p> <p>The introduction is incomplete and is less compatible with the title of the article. Add a few paragraphs regarding psychological studies on the topic. The method section is incomplete. Sampling method, number of samples, blood sample transfer method and... In a scientific study, all cases must be mentioned so that the results of the research can be cited and validated. What are the results of the psychological tests? What are the suggestions to improve the mental state of mothers who have positive results? In the introduction, what is trisomy 18, 13, 21, etc.? There are several types.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mehdi Rostamizadeh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b>