

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_101836
Title of the Manuscript:	JAK2 V617F Mutation in Patients with Thrombosis
Type of the Article	Original Article (Case-Control Study)

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript has scientific bases of dealing the JAK2 V617F Mutation in Patients with Thrombosis. This study showed a significant association between JAK2 mutation and thrombosis with more significant evidence in the venous than the arterial thrombosis.</p> <p>2. The title of the article suited for this study but abstract must be presented in the box</p> <p>3. Abstract was presented comprehensive</p> <p>4. Appropriately presented subtitle in the manuscript but need to add need for the study after introduction in the manuscript, summary and conclusion</p> <p>5 Yes</p> <p>6. References were presented recent as well as adequately.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suited	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Add need for study ➤ Need to add suitable supportive study in the result and discussion of the manuscript ➤ Must avoid repeated supportive study ➤ Add summary, conclusion and recommendation 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Need to add ethical concern information study and investigation	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bamalakshmi. J
Department, University & Country	Kasturba Gandhi Nursing College, Sri Balaji Vidyapeeth, India