

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_101520
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional Brain Network Connectivity Changes In Children and Adolescents With Attention- Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Resting-State Brain Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>As observing the increasing cases as well children and adult suffering severely that it hinders their daily life as well as the growth, it is essential to create awareness and also to find out the cause and cure of this psychological disease.</p> <p>I believe the title is pretty common and could be make more alluring by enhancing the study or severity of the disease nowadays.</p> <p>yes, it is.</p> <p>I would suggest to include the images so it could be catchy and easy to understand the difference in activities of the brain in both the group.</p> <p>To my knowledge yes, it is.</p> <p>I found only one article of 2023 although there are tremendous number of articles which are being published recently. I suppose the knowledge could be upgraded by utilizing the most recent ones from the past two years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In the method of selection part "cerebsamplerovascular" is mentioned justify it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirza Sadaf Fatema
Department, University & Country	Gujarat Technological University, India