

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_101224
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparing the Efficacy of Carvedilol and Celecoxib to Prednisolone in Acetic Acid-Induced Ulcerative Colitis in Male Albino Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, is very important, because scientific community needs to know new alternatives treatments to improve the quality of life the population. 2. it's ok, describes very well the study 3 The language should be revised so that it can be better understood 4. Yes, there are 5. Of course, there is. 7. References could be more up to date.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think, the language should be revised so that it can be better understood Review the language	
Optional/General comments	Text formatting should be revised, such as the title of figures	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mabel Calina De França Paz
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal De Campina Grande, Brasil