

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_101283
Title of the Manuscript:	LOGISTIC REGRESSION BASED CYBER HARASSMENT IDENTIFICATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) The research I manuscript uses machine learning should address the issue of cyber abuse on the Social site, which is a topic of interest for researchers so this manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2) Title of the article is suitable as per theme of research work in the manuscript.</p> <p>3) Abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive enough the show insight on the research work.</p> <p>4) subsections and structures of manuscript are scientifically appropriate.</p> <p>5) Yes manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6) References are provided in reference section but not cited it in manuscript, which shows authors made use of Chat GPT to write this manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>References are provided in reference section but not cited it in manuscript , which shows authors made use of Chat GPT to write this manuscript. Properly cite the references in manuscript and resubmit.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashant Dahiwale
Department, University & Country	Government Polytechnic, India