

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_100743
Title of the Manuscript:	LEVEL OF APPLICATION OF FERTILIZATION TECHNOLOGY IN OIL PALM(<i>Elaeis guineensis</i> Jacq.)FARMING: CASE STUDY IN TAJUR VILLAGE, LONG IKIS DISTRICT, PASER REGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>-----</p> <p>ok</p> <p>see comments</p> <p>see comments</p> <p>see comments</p> <p>see comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	see comments	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concentrate on typing work. 2. Take effort on presentation. 3. Use bold letters for titles. 4. Draw tables in a proper form. 5. Include recent references. 6. Include charts and graphs. 7. Strengthen the conclusion section. 8. Presentation is poor. 9. Reference section is very less. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Valliathal
Department, University & Country	Chikkaiah Naicker College, India