

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_101614
Title of the Manuscript:	Prolonged Hemodynamic Instability with Hypotension and Bradycardia Following Carotid Artery Stenting: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.- Interesting Case report of unpredictable complication during CAS, that are in most of the cases temporary and self-limiting, as mentioned in this manuscript. Several risk factors are well described, and prompt management of electrical and hemodynamic complications is mandatory.</p> <p>2.- The Title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3.- The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4.- Appropriate</p> <p>5.- Yes, the manuscript is Scientifically correct</p> <p>6.-References sufficient and some of them updated</p> <p>Suggestions / Comments Case presentation: Clinical history and Imaging before treatment CT angiography (Fig. 1A, B) no arrows showing severe heavily calcified stenosis in ICA at bulbous area Comment anatomical findings of Willis polygonus – vertebral and basilar circulation “ The patient exhibited longstanding Echocardiographic changes indicating systolic and diastolic dysfunction Describe ECG findings (sinus rhythm, preexisting ECG heart blockages) Treatment options / results The final DSA showed satisfactory resolution of the stenosis (Fig. 1C, D) (vasospasm & branch occlusion), arrows Discussion The typical approaches for managing CAS – related hemodynamic depression involve intravenous fluid and inotropes administration</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1.- Language/English of the article is suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francisco Jose Ayala Riquelme
Department, University & Country	University of Chile Clinical Hospital, Chile