

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_101109
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Insecticide-Treated Nets among Pregnant Women and Children Under-Five Years Of Selected Communities in Bayelsa and Rivers States, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No. Kindly add " Factors influencing", To read: "Factors influencing Utilization of Insecticide-Treated Nets among Pregnant- Women and Children Under-Five Years_ of Selected Communities in Bayelsa and Rivers States, Nigeria"	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No. Specific Objectives not Indicated but mentioned in result	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No There is need for stating: problem statement and justification of the study. Study design is part of methodology but not separate Reason why there was a sensitization prior to the study is not explained. Why was it necessary Conclusions are not consistent with study broad objective	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Actually, manuscript is not scientifically robust nor technically sound	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes The author needs to strictly comply with manuscript guideline i.e State Title, Objectives, Problem statement, justification / significance. The title can be brief, however, at the methodology section, inclusion and exclusion of study population should be adequately stated. Limitation and delimitations should be well captured	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There very few grammar challenges observed but can be worked on	
Optional/General comments	Study has repairable deficiencies that can be addressed	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moses Olunga Okomo John
Department, University & Country	Maseno University, Kenya