

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_100793
Title of the Manuscript:	UROGENITAL SCHISTOSOMIASIS IN LIKOMBA COMMUNITY, FAKO DIVISION, SOUTH WEST REGION, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community This manuscript shows the cases of schistosomiasis in one of region of Cameroon</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract is not very comprehensive: The authors should describe the situation in Cameroon the background part.</p> <p>4. The is no conclusion at the end of this manuscript</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references are not recent. The authors should check more references in order to discuss more and try to be more convince.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Ethical approval of the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Buea. Administrative authorization was sought from the Regional delegation of Public Health for the South West Region, Buea Cameroon; Divisional Officer of Tiko sub-division; District Medical Officer of Tiko; Director of Limbe Regional Hospital and the Chief of the Likomba community.</p> <p>Confidentiality codes were used on the study participants. Participation was strictly voluntary and any participant was free at any time to withdraw from the study without any consequences. All data collected were stored and analysed in a restricted computer.</p> <p>But authors should give the ethical number</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dramane Zongo
Department, University & Country	Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé, Burkina Faso