

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_97648</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of spacing and hybrid on quality of summer pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> L.) under south Gujarat condition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>original research article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1) Yes. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for the study region.</p> <p>2) Yes. The title is ok.</p> <p>3) The abstract did not include the objective.</p> <p>4) Yes. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5) The manuscript can be improved: * put citation in the second paragraph of the introduction * material and methods - better explain what is being researched in order to make the discussion of the results understandable.</p> <p>6) Most of the references are recent but could improve a little more.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I suggest doing a thorough review of the journal's publication guidelines for the entire manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Giovanni Guimarães Landa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro Universitário de Caratinga, UNEC, Campus de Nanuque, Brazil</b>