

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_102034
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological Parameters and Yield of Green Gram As Influenced By Weed Management Practices
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, manuscript is valuable in order to increase the green gram crop Yes. Yes Yes Satisfactory Yes 1. The researcher needs to add brief account of treatments with respect to their toxicities. The safety of increased crop yield is necessary to be established from previous literature or with newer studies. Hence it is recommended to add a brief safety profile of the treatment used in this experiment in their introduction and discussion sections. 2. Mention the limitations of the studies.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Make grammar and formatting corrections in the highlighted parts of manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	none	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subia Jamil
Department, University & Country	Jinnah University for Women, Pakistan