

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101969
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Levels of Calcium & Magnesium on Production and Quality of Banana (<i>Musa paradisiaca</i> L.) cv. Grand Naine
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This study was carried out at Horticultural Research Farm (HRF), Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., during 2022. The aim of experiment was to understand the effect of magnesium, calcium and different RDN doses on yield and quality of banana variety Grand Naine.</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. Must be improved</p> <p>4. yes</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. Could be improved</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Major ideas/topics received enough attention and explanation. The phrase "<i>Magnesium deficiency proved negative effects on mulberry plants in an experiment performed by Tewari et al. (2006)</i>" is repeated (see the Introduction section) It is necessary to present a brief presentation of the Fischer method and how this method was applied. I think it would be very useful to establish correlations between the analysed parameters How was the cost-benefit ratio determined? There is potential to be published in this journal</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihaela Toderas
Department, University & Country	University of Petrosani, Romania