

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101859
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Machine and Operational Parameters Towards Development of Stubble Manager cum Crop Planter for Conservation Agriculture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No 3. Title has to be rephrased as " Machine and Operational Parameters optimization of Stubble Manager cum Crop Planter for Conservation Agriculture" 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Needs improvements. 7. Additional reference is suggested especially in the introduction session 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract – Duration of study should be stated in number of months 2. Fig 1 should be moved to Method if the figure is authors' creation; if not it should be referenced. 3. More references should be added to the introduction session. 4. References should be written as [11, 12] not [11] and [12]. 5. What standard was used for Soil Parameters Measurements?? 6. The results obtained should be validated with other published and reference materials 7. The conclusion should be a synopsis of the work done and results obtained 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Ehi Imoisili
Department, University & Country	University of Johannesburg, South Africa