

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>          |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJPSS_101780</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Quality Enhancement In Forage Crops Of Cereals And Legumes</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Review Article</b>   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1. I reviewed a manuscript entitled "Improving the quality of grain and leguminous forage crops" which is very interesting, relevant and important. I have no problem reviewing this article as I believe the authors have done a very good literature search resulting in an excellent study that is powerful enough to add to the existing body of knowledge on the subject. More importantly, it will undoubtedly direct further research in this area to improve the quality of forage grains and legumes.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The list of used literary sources is sufficient and contains new references.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>The authors of the article theoretically investigated the problem of improving the quality of fodder crops. For this, the authors systematized and summarized the theoretical works of scientists and present their own interpretation of previously conducted research and proposals for solving the specified problem. As a result, in my opinion, the article deserves to be published.</p>  |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Olifir Yurii</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine</b> |