

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101748
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF RICE (Oryza sativa L.) HYBRIDS UNDER AGRO- CLIMATIC CONDITIONS OF PRAYAGRAJ
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. 1. In this paper, the HIBRIDS OF RICE (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) UNDER AGRO-CLIMATERIAL CONDITIONS IN PRAYAGRAJ were EVALUATED. The experiment was organized in randomized blocks, replicated three times, consisting of twenty hybrids, namely R-24, R-40, R-48, R-53, R-77, R-107, R-111, R-120, R-127, R-145, R-152, R-160, R-165, R-170, R-180, R-190, R-196, R-205, R-210, R-212. Based on the objective taken, maximum plant height (121.44 cm), number of tillers (15.81), plant dry weight (57.01 g/plant), tillers/m² (391.28), panicle length (29.28 cm), full grains (247.04), grain yield/stolon (29.13 g), seed yield (5.82 t/ha), residue yield (13.95 t/ha) and were recorded significantly higher in hybrid R-151.I think the title of the article is appropriate to the study</p> <p>2. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and very clear</p> <p>4. The work is understandable, correct, and appropriate for the journal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The purpose of and problems to solve in the work are clearly stated. - The purpose or goal of the work is within the journal's scope. - The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of medicinal plants. - The introductory section adequately explains the framework and problems of the research. - The figures and tables are easily readable, correct and informative. <p>-Overall, it is an important study, and should be considered for publication in International Journal of Plant & Soil Science.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is well written from a scientific point of view, has important message, and should be of great interest to the readers. Importance and impact: the presented results are of significant importance and impact to advancement in the relevant field of research. The article is article likely to be cited in the future.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and of the highest</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I am not in a position to assess the quality of the English language	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, it is an important study, and should be considered for publication in International Journal of Plant & Soil Science.</p> <p>I recommend your research article for publication in the International Journal of Plant & Soil Science without other revisions.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cocan Ileana
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I", Romania