

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101673
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Various Organic Manure and age of Seedling on Yield and Quality of Hybrid Cabbage (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i>)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript helps in understanding the fact that use of organic manures has a positive effect on the yield and quality of cabbage.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but there are certain corrections which needs to be done and has been highlighted in the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Kindly check the design of experiment. There is a contradiction of experimental design in abstract and main manuscript.</p> <p>2. No where in the manuscript the meaning of VC, D1 and D2 is mentioned. It should be clearly written what does it signify under materials and methods.</p> <p>3. Scientific name of cabbage should be written as <i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>capitata</i> L.</p> <p>4. Italicize et al wherever written in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. There is no connecting link between Introduction and materials and methods. Kindly add a line depicting the need of study.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varsha Pandey
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural Sciences, GD Goenka University, India