

ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL FROM DIFFERENT BLOCKS OF VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

Abstract

An Assessment of Physico-chemical properties of soil from different blocks of Visakhapatnam district, Andhra Pradesh was carried out in 2022-23. To determine the availability of macro nutrient in soil of these soil samples and provide the assessment of 9 sampling locations were selected. Soil samples were collected at the depth of 0-15 cm, 15-30 cm and 30-45 cm respectively. Soil textural classes were Sandy loam and Loamy sand. Bulk Density varies from (1.36 to 1.59 Mg m⁻³). Particle Density varies from (2.37 to 2.54 Mg m⁻³). %Pore Space (39.85 to 48.32 %), The Water Holding Capacity varies from (34.89 to 44.28%), the physical condition of the soil was found good. The pH of soil is Slightly alkaline in nature (6.82 to 8.08) and the Electrical Conductivity (0.17 to 0.37 dSm¹) was suitable for all crops. Organic carbon was found low (0.33 to 0.47%). These soils have low Nitrogen (197.00 to 220.00 kg ha⁻¹) in all villages. Phosphorus (16.96 to 26.68 kg ha⁻¹) is found medium to high. Potassium (201.96 to 266.01 kg ha⁻¹) is found medium in range. Calcium (3.22 to 5.66 meq 100g⁻¹) and Magnesium (1.85 to 2.79 meq 100g⁻¹) are sufficient in this soil. There is an including awareness of the need to pay greater attention in the role of macronutrients enhancement in the soil for good soil health and proper nutrition of plant so as to attain optimum economic yield and soil is suitable for all major tropical and sub-tropical crops.

Key words: Visakhapatnam district, Physico-chemical properties, Soil Health etc.

INTRODUCTION

Soil is a non-renewable dynamic natural resource that is essential to life. Soil has also been defined as a natural body consisting of layers (soil horizons) that are composed of weathered mineral materials, organic material, air and water. Soil is the end product of the combined influence of climate, topography, organisms (flora, fauna and human) on parent materials (original rocks and minerals) over time. As a result, soil differs from its parent material in texture, structure, consistency, colour, chemical, biological and physical characteristics. "The physical and chemical characteristic of soil plays a big role in the plants ability to extract water and nutrients. High quality soils not only produce better food and fibre, but also help to establish natural ecosystem and enhance air and water quality. The physical properties of soil depend upon the shape, structure, size, pore space, amount of organic matter and mineral composition of soil. The chemical properties of the soil are the interactions of various chemical constituents among soil particles and soil solution". [22] The physical and chemical properties are soil texture, bulk density, water holding capacity, soil structure, soil colour, pH, electrical conductivity, cation exchange capacity, organic carbon and soil nutrients (macro and micro).

Keeping in view of importance of soil's physical and chemical properties, the present study of Physico-chemical properties of soil samples were collected from various locations of district of Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh undertaken. The soil sample

collection is from 3 blocks of Visakhapatnam District in the state of Andhra Pradesh. Each selecting 3 villages. Samples was collected randomly from a site of each village using soil auger, Khurpi Knife by composite sampling method at a depth of 0-15cm, 15-30cm, 30-45 cm.

A comparison of the Physico-chemical Properties of some of the soils of different regions of the Andhra Pradesh state has been undertaken by comparing the results of the present study with the studies done earlier in the other regions of the state. Hence, a detailed study for evaluation of soils is needed to realize the concept of Physico-chemical analysis successfully. With this following objective, a study has been undertaken in soil resources inventory for sustainable land use planning in Visakhapatnam region of Andhra Pradesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling site and collection

Andhra Pradesh is a state in the south-eastern coastal region of India. It is bordered by Andhra Pradesh to the north-west, Chattisgarh to the north, Odisha to the north-east, Tamil Nadu to the south, Karnataka to the west and the Bay of Bengal to the east. Soil samples were collected from 3 different Blocks of Visakhapatnam district in Andhra Pradesh during kharif-2022. Three different locations selected from each block. Samples were collected randomly from three site of each block using soil auger, Khurpi, Knife by composite sampling method at depths of 0-15cm,15-30cm, 30-45cm. Twenty Seven Samples are collected with the help of GPS .All the samples were divided into four parts and then among them two samples are collected and only half kg sample is being taken for the soil analysis by the conning and quartering method. Completely Randomized Design was used as the experiment design in the analysis (CRD).

Methods

“Analysis of the soil samples were under the methods, the physical parameters include Soil Colour, Soil Texture, Bulk Density, Particle Density, Pore Space, Water Holding Capacity, whereas chemical parameters include pH, Electrical Conductivity, Organic Carbon, Macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg,) Soil textural class was determined by using Hydrometer” (Bouyoucos, 1927). “Bulk density, Particle density, Water holding capacity was determined by using Graduated Measuring Cylinder method” (Muthuvel *et al.*, 1992). pH was estimated with the help of Digital pH meter after making 1:2 soil water suspension (Jackson, 1958). Electrical Conductivity was estimated with the help of Digital Conductivity meter (Wilcox, 1950). Percent Organic Carbon was estimated by Wet Oxidation method (Walkley and Black, 1947). Available Nitrogen was estimated by Alkaline Potassium Permanganate method, using Kjeldahl apparatus (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), Available Phosphorus was estimated by Olsen’s extraction followed by Spectrophotometric method (Olsen *et al.*, 1954), Available Potassium was estimated by Neutral normal Ammonium Acetate extraction followed by Flame photometric method (Toth and Prince, 1949), Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium were estimated by EDTA method (Cheng and Bray, 1951).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical Properties

The Soil Textural classes identified as Sandy loam and Loamy sand. The sand, silt and clay percentage varied from 66.46 to 72.36 sand, 17.16 to 23.26 silt and 9.18 to 11.43 clay. Bulk Density was varied from the 1.36 to 1.59 Mg m⁻³ and the highest Bulk Density was found in S₈ (1.59 Mg m⁻³) from Anandapuram Block. “The bulk density increases with the increase in soil depth. The reason is soil compactness, which will be more at high depth and soil organic carbon will be decreased with increases the depth because of lower organic carbon and higher compactness of soil bulk density will be increased with increase in depth” (Ramana *et al.*, 2015). The Particle Density varied from 2.37 to 2.51 Mg m⁻³ and the highest Particle Density was found in S₅ (2.51 Mg m⁻³) from Pendurthi Block. The Pore Space (%) ranged from 39.8 to 48.32 %. The highest Pore Space % was found at site S₂ (48.32%) from Atchuthapuram Block. The Water Holding Capacity (%) ranged from 34.89 to 44.28 % and the highest Water Holding Capacity was found at site S₆ (44.28%) from Atchuthapuram Block. “The water holding capacity value decrease with increase in depth because of soil compaction and reduction in pore space. Soils vary in their water holding capacity according to their structure, texture, and bulk density relationship to total pore size distribution” (Singh *et al.*, 2019)

Table 1: Evaluation of Bulk density and Particle density of Soils of Visakhapatnam District

Block Name & Sites	Bulk Density (Mg m ⁻³)			Particle Density (Mg m ⁻³)		
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm
Atchuthapuram						
S ₁	1.41	1.44	1.47	2.41	2.43	2.47
S ₂	1.38	1.41	1.45	2.37	2.41	2.44
S ₃	1.39	1.42	1.44	2.41	2.43	2.45
Pendurthi						
S ₄	1.36	1.38	1.41	2.39	2.41	2.44
S ₅	1.43	1.45	1.49	2.45	2.48	2.51
S ₆	1.45	1.47	1.50	2.37	2.42	2.46
Anandapuram						
S ₇	1.51	1.54	1.57	2.41	2.43	2.47
S ₈	1.53	1.54	1.59	2.42	2.45	2.48
S ₉	1.52	1.56	1.58	2.43	2.46	2.49
	F-Test	S. Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S. Em. ±	C.D. @5%
Depth (0-15 cm)	S	0.009919	0.029471	NS	0.038805	-
Depth (15-30 cm)	S	0.026213	0.026213	NS	0.037809	-
Depth (30-45 cm)	S	0.030413	0.030413	NS	0.044017	-




Fig 1: Bulk density and Particle density (Mg m^{-3})

Table 2: Estimation of Water Holding Capacity, Pore Space (%) of Soils of Visakhapatnam District

Block Name & Sites	Pore Space (%)			Water Holding Capacity (%)		
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm
Atchuthapuram						
S ₁	47.42	45.84	44.28	43.86	41.56	40.18
S ₂	48.32	46.75	43.86	44.28	42.39	39.36
S ₃	47.98	44.54	42.26	43.56	40.12	38.95
Pendurthi						
S ₄	44.35	42.64	41.32	40.95	39.25	38.86
S ₅	45.78	43.86	41.86	40.28	39.86	37.62
S ₆	46.52	44.32	42.16	41.86	40.18	38.78
Anandapuram						
S ₇	44.32	42.89	40.28	39.62	38.76	34.23
S ₈	42.25	41.89	39.96	38.76	37.56	35.42
S ₉	43.76	41.38	39.85	38.26	37.89	34.89
	F-Test	S. Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S. Em. ±	C.D. @5%
Depth (0-15 cm)	S	0.639547	1.900191	S	0.495011	1.470754
Depth (15-30 cm)	S	0.684547	2.033895	S	0.514911	0.514911
Depth (30-45 cm)	S	0.640989	1.904477	S	0.042973	0.042973




Fig 2: Pore Space and Water Holding Capacity (%)

Chemical Properties

The pH value ranged from 6.82 to 8.08 and the highest value was recorded at site S₇ (pH 8.08) from Anandapuram Block. pH value increases with the increasing depth because at upper horizons receive maximum leaching by rainfall and by dissolved carbonic acids and presence of high amount of exchangeable sodium ions. The Electrical Conductivity ranged from (0.17 to 0.37 dS m⁻¹) and the highest value was recorded at the site S₆ (0.37 dS m⁻¹) from Pendurthi Block and the soil was found to be normal. The value of total Organic Carbon (%) varied from 0.33 to 0.47% and the range of organic carbon content was found low to medium.

Table 3: Estimation of soil pH (1:2), EC (dS m⁻¹) and Organic Carbon (%)

Block Name Sites	pH			EC (dS m ⁻¹)			OC (%)		
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm
Atchuthapuram									
S ₁	6.82	6.84	7.32	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.42	0.41	0.39
S ₂	6.86	7.33	7.39	0.21	0.24	0.25	0.41	0.39	0.38
S ₃	7.18	7.28	7.97	0.17	0.19	0.23	0.43	0.41	0.40
Pendurthi									
S ₄	7.98	8.02	8.06	0.24	0.26	0.29	0.42	0.41	0.39
S ₅	7.87	7.92	8.03	0.29	0.31	0.34	0.45	0.43	0.42
S ₆	7.97	8.01	8.05	0.30	0.33	0.37	0.47	0.45	0.43
Anandapuram									
S ₇	7.98	8.04	8.08	0.21	0.24	0.27	0.39	0.37	0.36
S ₈	7.94	8.03	8.07	0.29	0.31	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.35
S ₉	7.85	7.98	8.03	0.23	0.26	0.29	0.37	0.36	0.35
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%
Depth (0-15 cm)	S	0.130596	0.38802	S	0.00492	0.014631	S	0.005917	0.017581
Depth (15-30 cm)	S	0.143163	0.42535	S	0.00474	0.014089	S	0.004583	0.013618
Depth (30-45 cm)	S	0.003809	0.01131	S	0.00619	0.018419	S	2.800417	8.320466




Fig 3 : pH, EC (ds m⁻¹) and Organic Carbon (%)

Primary Nutrients

The Available Nitrogen content of soil ranged from 197 to 220 kg ha⁻¹ and Nitrogen content was low in all villages. The Available Phosphorus content of soil ranged from 16.96 to 26.68 kg ha⁻¹. The phosphorus content was found medium to high. Available Potassium content of soil ranged from 201.96 to 266.01 kg ha⁻¹. The potassium content was found Medium in range in all the villages.

Table 4: Evaluation of Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorous and Available Potassium (kg ha⁻¹)

	Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)			Phosphorous (kg ha ⁻¹)			Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm
Anandapuram									
S ₁	215.00	209.00	203.00	24.36	20.75	18.96	224.85	212.18	203.56
S ₂	218.00	213.00	205.00	23.68	21.12	19.28	246.55	231.93	222.36
S ₃	217.00	209.00	204.00	25.12	22.85	17.85	253.56	242.85	233.72
Pendurthi									
S ₄	220.00	213.00	207.00	23.42	21.28	18.54	244.75	236.38	229.63
S ₅	215.00	208.00	201.00	26.68	22.42	19.87	266.01	249.91	235.37
S ₆	219.00	212.00	204.00	22.68	19.92	17.45	258.89	246.22	232.65
Atchuthapuram									
S ₇	209.00	203.00	199.00	23.86	20.17	18.86	220.96	211.65	202.84
S ₈	205.00	200.00	197.00	22.37	20.67	17.37	230.34	220.75	204.12
S ₉	216.00	210.00	203.00	21.52	18.62	16.96	219.79	205.62	201.96

	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%
Depth (0-15 cm)	S	2.62398	7.7962	S	0.347482	1.032421	S	3.28570	9.76233
Depth (15-30 cm)	S	3.25308	2.7816	S	0.372509	1.10678	S	3.03380	9.01389
Depth (30-45 cm)	S	0.44877	1.3348	S	4.239471	12.5961	S	0.07997	0.23762




Fig 4: Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorous and Available Potassium(kg ha⁻¹)

Secondary Nutrients

Exchangeable Calcium content of soil ranged from (3.22 meq 100g⁻¹ to 5.66 meq 100g⁻¹) with the highest value recorded at site S₄ (5.66) meq 100g⁻¹) from the Pendurthi Block. Exchangeable Magnesium content of soil ranged from 1.85 meq 100g⁻¹ to 2.79 meq 100g⁻¹) with the highest value recorded at S₅ (2.79) meq 100g⁻¹) from the Pendurthi Block. Calcium and Magnesium are very sufficient in this soil. “Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium decreases with the increasing in depth due to the attributes of high pH towards the depth” (Madhavi *et al.*, 2018)

Table 5: Evaluation of Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium [meq 100g⁻¹]

Block Name & Sites	Exchangeable Calcium [meq 100g ⁻¹]			Exchangeable Magnesium [meq 100g ⁻¹]		
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	30-45 cm
Jainath						
S ₁	4.72	4.52	4.13	2.68	2.42	2.24
S ₂	4.35	4.15	3.88	2.66	2.44	2.31
S ₃	4.26	4.01	3.84	2.62	2.53	2.34
Ichoda						
S ₄	5.66	5.38	5.02	2.76	2.66	2.54
S ₅	5.27	5.12	4.86	2.79	2.65	2.42
S ₆	5.65	5.21	4.95	2.71	2.59	2.38
Boath						
S ₇	4.18	3.83	3.53	2.34	2.24	2.11
S ₈	4.04	3.54	3.22	2.21	2.05	1.94
S ₉	3.95	3.64	3.41	2.12	1.93	1.85
	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%	F-Test	S.Em. ±	C.D. @5%
Depth (0-15 cm)	S	0.051435	0.152822	S	0.034384	0.102161
Depth (15-30 cm)	S	0.069413	0.206236	S	0.033348	0.099081
Depth (30-45 cm)	S	0.034498	0.102499	S	0.032623	0.096928




Fig 5: Exchangeable Calcium and Magnesium [meq 100g⁻¹]

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

It is concluded that the soils of three blocks of the district are Sandy loam and Loamy sand with adequate BD, PD and pore space. It is slightly alkaline in nature, electrical conductivity as favourable for plant growth but with some management practices, organic carbon is low content, and Nitrogen was found to be low and phosphorus are medium to high and potassium is found be medium in range. Secondary nutrients i.e., calcium and magnesium are quite adequate. The deficiency of the nutrients can be mitigated by the use of organic and inorganic fertilizers. It shows that the soils are good for cultivation of paddy, wheat, jowar, soya bean, horticulture crops etc. Farmers are advised to implement acceptable management methods and supply proper nourishment to soil health in accordance with the standards of the central and state governments for crop cultivation and are obliged to maintain their Soil Health Cards. It implies that there is still room for improvement in cropping patterns, organic waste decomposition, mulching, and tillage techniques. With the knowledge and experience gained from research, these practices may be developed in the future to assist farmers in producing high yields through soil conservation and maintaining better environmental protection.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my Advisor Dr. Tarence Thomas HoD and Professor, department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, for his diligent guidance and constructive suggestions at every step during my work. I thank him for his creative criticism and valuable suggestions for improving the quality of this work. I also extend my gratitude to all the teaching and non- teaching staff of our department because without them I would not be able to complete my work.

REFERENCE

1. Anonymous (1971). Munsell colour chart. Munsell colour company inc. 2241 N. calveri street, Baltimore, Maryland 21212, USA.
2. Black, C.A., (1965). Methods of Soil Analysis Part – II. Chemical and microbiological properties. Agronomy Monograph No. 9. *American Society of Agronomy*, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 18-25.
3. Bouyoucos, G.J. (1927). The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. *Soil Science*, 23: 343-353
4. Cheng, K.L. and Bray, R.H. (1951). Determination of Calcium and Magnesium in soil and plant material. *Soil Sci.*, 72: 449-458.
5. Fisher, R. A. (1960). Statistical methods and scientific induction. *Journal of the royal statistical society series. 17*: 69-78. *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry (IOSR-JAC)* e-ISSN: 2278-5736. Volume 7, Issue 11 Ver. I. (Nov. 2014), PP 01-05
6. Jackson. M. L. (1958). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi.
7. Kumari, A.K., Rao, P.C., Padmaja, G. and Madhavi, M. (2017). Effect of Physico-Chemical Properties on Soil Enzyme Acid Phosphatase Activity of Some Soils in Vegetable Growing research station, Warangal, Telangana, *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*; 7(6): 1852-1856.
8. Lindsay. W. L. and Norvell, W. A. (1978). Development of a DPTA soil test for heavy metals. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 42: 402-403.
9. Madhavi, E., Syamkumar, B., Sekhar, P.S.R.(2018) Assessment of Soil Quality Under rice cropping systems, Yelamanchili Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Journal of Pharmaceutical, Chemical and Biological Sciences*.6(2):35-41.
10. Muthuvel, P., Udayasoorian, C., Natesan, R. and Ramaswami, P.R. (1992). Introduction to Soil Analysis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
11. Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanabe, F. S. and Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *U.S. Deptt. Agr. Circ.*, 939.
12. Rajamani, K., Hari, N. and Rajashekar, M. (2020). Soil Fertility Evaluation and GPS-GIS Based Soil Nutrient Mapping of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Palem, Telangana, *International Research Journal of Pure & Applied Chemistry* 21(23): 139-145, ISSN: 2231-3443.
13. Sireesha, A., Ramalakshmi, CH.S., Sreelatha, T., Usharani, T.(2021) Study on soil Fertility Status in Sugarcane Growing Soils of Visakhapatnam District, Andhra Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.10(3):285-289.
14. Shivanna, A.M. and Nagendrappa, G. (2014). Chemical Analysis of Soil Samples to Evaluate the Soil Fertility Status of Selected Command Areas of Three Tanks in Tiptur Taluk of Karnataka, India, *IOSR Journal of Applied Chemistry* e-ISSN: 2278-5736. Volume 7, Issue 11 Ver. I. PP 01-05.

15. Singh, Y.P., Raghubanshi, B.P.S., Tiwari, R.J. and Motsara, S. (2014). Distribution of Available Macro and Micronutrients in Soils of Morena District of Madhya Pradesh, *A Journal of Multidisciplinary Advance Research* Vol. 3, 01-08.
16. Subbiah, B. V. and Asija, C. L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Sci.*, 25: 259-260.
17. Tale, K. S. and Ingole, S. (2015). A Review on Role of Physico-Chemical Properties in Soil Quality. *Chem Sci Rev Lett*, 4(13), 57–66.
18. Toth, S. J. and Prince, A. L. (1949). Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. *Soil Sci.*, 67: 439-445.
19. Venkata Ramana CH, Bhaskar CH, Prasad Rao PVV, Byragi Reddy T. Soil quality in four different areas of Visakhapatnam city, Andhra Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.2015;20(3):190-7.
20. Walkley, A. (1947). Critical examination of rapid method for determining organic carbon in soils, effect of variation in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents, *Soil Sci.*, 632: 251.
21. Wilcox, L. V. (1950). Electrical conductivity, *Amer. Water Works Assoc. J.*, 42: 775-776.
22. Das A, Hassan A, Thomas T, Barthwal A. Nutrient index of soil from Sepahijala district Tripura. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 2022; SP-11(5): 1546-155