

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101369
Title of the Manuscript:	Using paclobutrazol and leaf-to-fruit-ratio as tools for improving yield and quality of non-dwarfing high-density peach orchards
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, manuscripts are important to the scientific community 2. the title of the article is appropriate 3. The research objective has not been included in the abstract 4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate 5. In general, the manuscript has fulfilled scientific principles 6. references are sufficient, but most of them have years of publication that are past, so it is necessary to replace and cite the most recent references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Need to improve the language 2. Check typos and punctuation	
Optional/General comments	Formatting required as per journal guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Nurcahyani
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia