

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101362
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Physico-chemical properties of soil from different blocks of West Godavari district, Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes 2. Yes 3. - there is no study design and duration of study. - "the soil samples of the areas of Eluru division were found to be mildly alkaline and non-saline" can be used as a conclusion at the end of the abstract - 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. - some references are too old such as: Bouyoucos, G. L. (1927), Walkley, A., and Black (1947), Toth, S. J., and Prince, A. L. (1949) - some references are missing in the manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	grammatical corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukma Aditya Sitepu
Department, University & Country	University of Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia