

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_101318
Title of the Manuscript:	Inference of Rock Phosphate, Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria and Lime on phosphorus content and Economic yield of green gram
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The paper is clear, streamlined, and practical</p> <p>The abstract is adequate and informs about the subject matter researched in the paper. Needs minor proofreading and editing [see attached corrected scanned Manuscript]</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction and background are adequate and use relevant references. Proofreading is needed [see attached Manuscript] How is this paper divided... briefly describe each section</p> <p>Methodology representation is adequate.</p> <p>Data analysis details and their measurement.... Are adequate</p> <p>Results in Table and Figure forms are adequate for the discussion. However, the Figures need to be labeled on the bottom [see suggestions].</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, though needs proofreading [See attached scanned Manuscript]</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate...</p> <p>References Review the references' write-up for consistency and match with journal requirements...</p> <p>Proofreading and editing are needed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon