

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_101071</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of establishment methods and organic nutrient management practices on productivity and profitability of finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i> L.) in lateritic soils of Odisha</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes. The article is important considering the treated topic (culture system and applied fertilization) both regarding the improvement of finger millet culture technology and why not, and as a source of documentation for testing the behavior of other species in the respective conditions. Obtaining an increased harvest, of quality and at a low cost, is a current desire for any farmer. Detailed observations and recommendations can be found on the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. I recommend considering my observations (which have been done on the manuscript) and use subsections, for clear.</p> <p>I think so. Maybe a better description for Material and Methods can be appropriated.</p> <p>I recommend to respect Instructions for authors regarding this section preparing, as well as for in text citations.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. Some changes are recommended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Delian Elena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania</b>