

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_100948</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Biofertilizers and Zinc on the Growth and Yield of Barley</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comment

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes</b> <b>Yes</b> <b>No, abstract should be rewrite again with short sentences show the main obtained results briefly and remove the title name of abstract in the first line.</b> <b>Yes, with some notes</b> <b>Material and methods</b> <b>It is not clear for the treatment application of bacteria species and the abbreviation of PSB should be written clear.</b> <b>Results and Discussion</b> <b>The F. test is not mentioned the level of significant and the meaning of S should be mentioned under the tables.</b> <b>The column of S. No. in the tables should be removed it is not important&gt;</b> <b>References</b> <b>The way of references writing does not follow one system and the delete numbering of references.</b> <b>The reference in the manuscript does not identical to the references lists, please check it</b> <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes, it is sufficient and up to date with some correction that was mentioned before</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, it is clear and easy to understand	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marwa Adel Qotb Ibrahim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Soils, Water and Environmental Research Institute, Egypt</b>