

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100927
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of spring greengram cultivars to (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) integrated nutrient management in Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References part need to be improved, Out of 9 references, 7 references are not cited in the text but listed in References section & Correct citation format is not maintained.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall the manuscript looks good, accepted for publication with minor revision</p> <p><u>Query/comment:</u> Overall the manuscript needs language improvement. Results & Discussion part needs to be improved.</p> <p><u>Query/comment:</u> References part needs to be improved. Some of the journals are in italic and some are not italic at References section, please maintain the uniformity.</p> <p>Correct citation format is not maintained at References section, please maintain uniformity.</p> <p>Out of 9 references, 7 references are not cited in the text but listed in References section like Arsalan et al. 2016; Bhavya et al. 2018; Chaudhary et al.2014; Gadi Parvati et al. 2017; Jawhara et al. 2016; Krishnaprabu 2018;Niranjan et al. 2010. Include or delete this references in text.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yadla Hari
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, RARS, India