

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100887
Title of the Manuscript:	Insight the quality parameters of diverse rice germplasm set of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. It is an interesting article. As the authors wrote in the conclusion, it can a beginning of further and deeper studies about the issue. Yes Not so much. It was a little bit confusing. Not so much. Yes Yes I suggest to structure the Abstract in order to make it more comprehensive (Divide it into sections: introduction, objective, method, result and conclusion). I also suggest to add an "Objective" section (the aim of the study was included in the Introduction – it can be excluded from the Introduction and added in the "Objective" section)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, there are some mistakes, but they are few.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josie Naomi Iyeyasu
Department, University & Country	State University of Campinas, Brazil