

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_100862</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) genotypes under agro-climatic conditions of prayagraj, uttarpradesh, India.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Yes</p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. However,</b> the authors need to bring out clearly how the different parameters were measured. Materials and methods look shallow. Data on the soil properties of the area should be included  <b>Title:</b> Agronomic Evaluation of maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) genotypes under agro-climatic conditions of prayagraj, uttarpradesh, India <b>or</b> Determination of Agronomic Performance of maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) genotypes under agro-climatic conditions of prayagraj, uttarpradesh, India</p> <p>Yes, but all sections are shallow. More depth is needed to the paper for all sections</p> <p>Yes, but needs more depth</p> <p><b>Yes,</b> but the reference style is not consistent. Authors to follow the journal's format</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The paper is shallow. Introduction, methodology, results and discussion need more depth The authors may be advised to revised the manuscript	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Morish Obura</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Crops Resources Research Institute, Uganda</b>