

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100613
Title of the Manuscript:	DNA FINGERPRINTING OF CROPS AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE IN CROP IMPROVEMENT
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Add these references Euler M, Krishna VV, Jaleta M, Hodson D. Because error has a price: A systematic review of the applications of DNA fingerprinting for crop varietal identification. <i>Outlook on Agriculture</i> . 2022 Dec;51(4):384-93. Jahnke G, Smidla J, Poczai P. MolMarker: A Simple Tool for DNA Fingerprinting Studies and Polymorphic Information Content Calculation. <i>Diversity</i> . 2022 Jun 19;14(6):497.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language need to be reviewed	
Optional/General comments	Follow author guidelines The MS is well presented and it may be accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kannan CS Warriar
Department, University & Country	ICFRE-Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree Breeding, India