

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_100190
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth and flowering behavior of tuberose (<i>Polianthus tuberosa</i> L.) cv. Prajwal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>I have modified the title due to different reason I indicated on the main manuscript An alternative title: "Influence of Vermi compost and bio-fertilizers on growth and flowering of tuberose (<i>Polianthus tuberosa</i> L.) cv. Prajwal"</p> <p>With the exception of few edition problem and lack of recommendation it is good (general comments found on the main manuscript)</p> <p>They are appropriate with exception of some problem. The methodology part needs to be re write. There is no methodology and experimental procedures to conduct the experiment. The type software for the analysis did not mentioned, no recommendation and as a general it lacks coherency Discussion part is somewhat few and even can't be considered as discussion. Need to elaborate and discuss more in line with other literature</p> <p>Of course it is scientifically correct The result table is good but it is not understandable: for the easiness, better to use all treatment combinations in the table, no coefficient of variance, also may be least significance difference of the means (if that mean separation is important)</p> <p>References are sufficient and recent. The reference writing system is not uniform and I suggest using the journal's reference authoring system.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Title modification, edition problem, re writing methodology, discussion part must elaborated and clearly discussed with other literatures Generally it must be edited with the native English speaker</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I have clearly indicated all my comments on the main manuscript and I suggest the editors to see the comments clearly and indicate to the author encase the manuscript with full comment will not be sent to the author.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jibril Temesgen Merga
Department, University & Country	Jimma University, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Ethiopia