

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJMPCR_101082</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Case Report: LWMH use in elderly patient with normal kidney function affects serum sodium and potassium levels</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>No, but for physicians this manuscript may be valuable for understanding rare side effects of LMWH therapy</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, though the figures could be integrated within the text.</p> <p>Yes, this is a case report so it is mostly observational.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Many of the same blood markers are measured daily. It would help the reader if this were in a table format with the markers on the y-axis and days on the x axis to understand the progression of specific markers. The graphs do this for a few of the important markers, but a table can compress all of the information.</p> <p>There could be more information on the kits or reagents used for blood testing and more methodology on how the patient material as handled. Some of the technical details could be useful for similar cases if the need arises.</p> <p>Well organized case study with sufficient details. If possible, please include batch number and manufacturer of LMWH since this varies often. In the discussion within the first sentence, LMWH and heparin are not hormones, but polysaccharides and, specifically, glycosaminoglycans.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ed Harris
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA