

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99835
Title of the Manuscript:	Biochemical basis of resistance in rice to yellow stem borer, Scirpophaga incertulas Wik.
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. 7. Statistical analysis (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Study is helpful for development of yellow stem borer resistant variety. Yes Add one or two sentences about challenges of the study and later discuss about the contributions made. Brief the methods and result obtained in the experiment performed. Add Conclusion of the result and the future scope in the research right direction. Use suitable statistical method like correlation for better interpretation. Support your results with suitable and updated references in discussion. Correlate pest damage and biochemical parameters for better interpretation of outcome.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Analysis of whole article in terms of grammar and spellings should be done again. The article needs careful English polishing since there are many typos and poorly written sentences.	
Optional/General comments	Assure that all the references in text should be in reference section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. M. Damasia
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, India