

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99656
Title of the Manuscript:	Investing in the transition to renewables: understanding the infodemic on climate change among undergraduates of the faculty of environmental sciences in a Nigerian university
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscripts are quite important in the development of scientific community 2. Yes, but too long, can be shortened 3. ok 4. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript should follow the rules of scientific writing that apply. 5. The structure of the manuscript meets scientific principles, it's just that for the format, make sure it complies with the provisions of the publisher 6. References should be up-to-date and >75% primary literature 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	sufficient, only tables should probably be included in the body of the paper, not attached	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Articles should be written neatly, following the rules and templates that apply to this journal, taking into account editing. the title is too long, the writing of the title must be appropriate	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reny Sukmawani
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Sukabumi, Indonesia