

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99376
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of tomato genotypes for growth and yield under eastern dry zone of Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The importance of this manuscript is average. Tomatoes are an important crop, one of the most consummated vegetables.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript has all subsections required.</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English needs to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	In Abstract the authors state that the experiment took place in 2015-2016. In Materials and Methods section, authors state that the experiment took place in 2015-2017. Which is the correct date?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Apahidean Alexandru
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine, Romania