

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_102067
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT BIO STIMULANTS ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF CHILLI (Capsicum annum L.) UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO CLIMATIC CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-written and easy to read and is important for the scientific community. The title is suitable. The manuscript is supported by adequate relevant and current publications.</p> <p>Some minor corrections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bio stimulants must be written biostimulants. 2. The word DAT and TSS must be accompanied by their complete words the first time they appear. 3. It is better to shortly describe the planting, treatment, and maintenance of plants in Abstract and Methodology. 4. It is better to shortly describe how every observation variable was measured or determined. 5. Table 3 is not referred in the Discussion? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need to check and review the grammar	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is well-written and easy to read and important for the scientific community. The title is suitable. The manuscript is supported by adequate relevant and current publications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Kadir Salam
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia