

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101872
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ECONOMICS ANALYSIS OF OIL PALM CULTIVATION IN EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT OF (ANDHRA PRADESH) INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, relevant study with appropriate variables</p> <p>Title is well suited to the content</p> <p>Abstract could be a bit more elaborative and definable to the content</p> <p>Yes, common pattern of manuscript writing has been followed</p> <p>Yes, as it is a survey based study and proven with tables and graphs, chances of manipulations are less.</p> <p>Work out on reference area, make use of atleast 10 to 15 years old references and refer even more research items before coming in to a conclusion</p> <p>Constraints have been mentioned so suggestions could also been included, randomness must be followed for independent variables. Make use of more scientific statistical tools rather than using percentage analysis.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, language usage and writings skills are good.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Lack of scientific tools to prove the findings,	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Foumy N Rafeeq
Department, University & Country	Vivekananda Global University, India