

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_101635</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of micronutrients (Iron and Boron) on Growth, Yield and quality of Safflower Crop (Carthamus tinctorius L.) in Kalyan Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- This manuscript is important for scientific community because it reflects the opportunity of selecting effective application rates of Iron and Boron fertilizer for improving safflower yield and quality.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is suitable, it clearly describes the article.</p> <p>3- The abstract of the article is comprehensive, but require mentioning the treatments.</p> <p>4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and clearly designed. However, in the introduction section should include literature about the effects of iron and boron on yield of safflower supported with references. In addition, discussion should include the result of the former studies on the effects of iron and boron on safflower yield.</p> <p>5- It is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- The references are sufficient and recent, but the reference list needs to be given numbers.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes it is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohamed Ibrahim Ismail</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sudan</b>