

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101613
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Knowledge Level of Paddy Farmers on Climate Change in Nagapattinam District of Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

((<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>))

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is good, it represents the paper in its parts. Though needs proofreading [see suggestions]</p> <p>Keywords are adequate</p> <p>The introduction and background are appropriately clear and streamlined. These are brief but enough to support the paper's objectives. However, need to add the objective of the paper and how is this paper divided!! Also, add suggested ref.</p> <p>The methodology representation is clear and adequate. However, suggestions are made in the data analysis. [See attached Manuscript] Also, what is the size of the total population of such farmers???</p> <p>The results are clear, with adequate data tables. Good to support the discussion.</p> <p>The discussion is adequate, clear, and streamlined. It is validated by the reported literature. However, needs good proofreading and editing [see suggestions in the attached Manuscript]</p> <p>The conclusion is adequate. Limitations are not reported???. Must add</p> <p>Review carefully the write-up of the references for consistency, and completeness, and to match the Journal's requirements... Watch for the style of the citations since you are using numbers in this table!!!</p> <p>Proofreading is needed [see suggestions]</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon