

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_101612</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Screening of Mustard germplasm against powdery mildew (<i>Erysiphe cruciferarum</i>) and analysis of yield contributing characters</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>Yes, the authors highlight the importance of the work by citing the economic importance of mustard and what is needed to improve production without exploring new lands.</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, yes</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p><b>Fig 2 -Put a caption identifying what area, production and yield represent. The figures have to be self explanatory.</b></p> <p><b>Fig 5- Put a caption identifying what area, production and yield represent. The figures have to be self explanatory.</b></p> <p><b>Fig 6 - All figures must be cited in the text. if there is no image without connection to wor</b></p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <p><b>authors need to discuss why NRCHB-101 which the benchmark for moderately resistant has dropped to susceptible</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes,</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript was well developed and is ready for publication, as it fixes some of the previously mentioned items.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Josiane Pinheiro Farias</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Center of Engineering, Federal University of Pelotas, Brazil</b>