

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101612
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Mustard germplasm against powdery mildew (<i>Erysiphe cruciferarum</i>) and analysis of yield contributing characters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript holds the merits ad in of interest to the scientific community. How ever I ma not agreeing with the writing style of particularly the introduction.</p> <p>Yes. The title is inclusive.</p> <p>The abstract need to refined with summarizing the research goal, major findings and conclusion.</p> <p>In the introduction part, sub sectioning is inappropriate. The remaining parts are okay.</p> <p>The manuscript is correct, but some stricture modifications are necessary. The introduction need s refinement and removal of unnecessary information's.</p> <p>References are not sufficient. Inclusion of more references are required.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language is okay.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Re arrange the abstract, into a single paragraph. Mention your objectives, major findings and conclusion. 2. The introduction section requires major revisions. Remove the unnecessary information (1.2, 1.3. and 1.4). 1.4 can be added as paragraph counting to the introduction part. Remove sub sectioning for this part. Conclude your introduction part and mention your objective n the last paragraph of introduction section. 3. Arrange the M&M part into 2 paragraphs. Describe the strain of the powdery mildew used in this study. Also describe ethe source of this strain. 4. The results part is okay to be divided into 3 parts. However, I didn't find any discussion in it. More, the ANOVA is given for the morphological traits, but the table of Means is missing. Kindly provide the table and properly reference it in the section 3.1. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Ali
Department, University & Country	Huazhong Agricultural University, China