

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101591
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Physico-chemical properties of soil from different villages of Sundar Nagar block of Mandi district of Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, it gives the basic soil physical properties of the studied area. It helps in long-term/short-term planning for soil corrective measures to enhance the crops yield. It looks OK. Abstract is partly OK. Authors are requested to give the range of the analysed parameters in abstract. It looks OK. Yes Please add few more references in Introduction section 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	In result and discussion section, please avoid the monotonous type of writing.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good study carried out by the authors and a new information of the study area was generated. Need to improve the writing part of the manuscript. Need to improve the introduction by focussing the analysed parameters. It is better if authors can present results either in tabular form or in graphical form not in both the form. I think both are not required. Some corrections are made on the body of the manuscript. Please correct those corrections.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. K. Indoria
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, India