

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_101590</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Row ratio and Planting methods on Growth, Water Use Efficiency, Yield and Economics of Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) and Mentha (<i>Mentha arvensis</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, it is</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, it is</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, they are</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, I do</b></p> <p>6. <b>No, they are not</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Abstract:</b> the statement of the objective should clearly write down</li> <li>• <b>Introduction:</b> in the last part of introduction: With the following objectives...it should be changed....The objectives of this study were...</li> <li>• <b>Materials and Methods:</b> Observations Recorded: It should be explained how to measure Total Water Use, Water Use Efficiency, and Land Equivalent Ratio</li> <li>• <b>Results and Discussion:</b> It should be written down the value of LSD (0.05) in every Table to mention the significant treatment means.</li> <li>• <b>References:</b> There are not the names as Reddy, 2004; Breiman and Graur, 2016; Loss and Siddique, 1994; Evan et al., 1972; Kotari and Singh, 1994</li> <li>• It will be better, the few references are recent year as 2018-2022</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kukuh Setiawan
Department, University & Country	University of Lampung, Indonesia