

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_101437 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Environmental Sustainability and Food Security of Traditional Agricultural Practices in India- A Review |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1.This review article deals with Environmental Sustainability and Food Security with special reference to agricultural practices in India, which is a vital theme in the present times. Hence, the manuscript is relevant to scientific community.</p> <p>2.The title is <i>too lengthy</i>, a catchy title may be added, such as 'A review of Agricultural Practices' or 'An in-depth study on Agricultural Practices' etc.,</p> <p>3.As it is a thematic presentation, the abstract is presented in 208 words along with 5 key words. Future research suggested should have been presented more precisely.</p> <p>4. Under the structure a section related to review of previous research would have given more weightage to the presentation. A paragraph on discussion may be added.</p> <p>5. Challenges, technological policy interventions along with case studies for farming practices are well discussed. Gaps should have been underlined.</p> <p>6.Nearly 44 references were furnished till the year 2021, 2022 &2023 may also be added, pl</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Presentation is good , still think of linguistic lucidity.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>1.A critical review related to the problem on hand may be stated under conclusion.</p> <p>2.Please concentrate on more number of case studies.</p> <p>3.Digitalization in agriculture may be focused.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name: | N. Samuel Babu |
| Department, University & Country | St. Joseph's Pg College, India |