

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101428
Title of the Manuscript:	Honeybee Flora for Commercial Beekeeping in Manipur, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it brings new information about the bee flora and floral preference of bees in Manipur region, India.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable for the manuscript.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract reports all the important information in the manuscript text.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are adequate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript meets all the procedures of a scientific study. The introduction introduces the topic at hand. The identification of plant species and bees that visit plant flowers to obtain pollen and nectar is an adequate and correct methodology. The Manuscript has tables with descriptions of the crops visited by bees, bee species, purpose of collection and frequency of collection. This methodology is correct.</p> <p>6. The references although not recent, are adequate.</p> <p>➤ The manuscript has merit and can be published.</p> <p>➤ In my opinion, the manuscript will be fit for publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guido Laércio Bragança Castagnino
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil